```
G6U5heS
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             15 Cr. 730 (WHP)
                 V.
5
     HONG PING HE and BENSON CHIN,
6
                    Defendants.
 7
           ----x
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              June 30, 2016
9
                                              2:55 p.m.
10
     Before:
11
                        HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III,
12
                                              District Judge
13
14
                                APPEARANCES
15
     PREET BHARARA
          United States Attorney for the
          Southern District of New York
16
      JESSICA FENDER
17
          Assistant United States Attorney
18
      DONALD YANNELLA, P.C.
          Attorneys for Defendant Chin
     BY: DONALD YANNELLA
19
20
     FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK, INC.
          Attorneys for Defendant He
21
     BY: MARTIN S. COHEN
22
     ALSO PRESENT: PATSY ONG, Mandarin interpreter
                     LILY LAU, Cantonese interpreter
23
24
25
```

G6U5heS sentence 1 (Case called) 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Appearances for the government. 3 MS. FENDER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jessica 4 Fender on behalf of the government, and with me at counsel's table is one of our interns, Richard Frolichstein. 5 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Fender. 7 MR. COHEN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Martin Cohen from the Federal Defenders on behalf of Ms. He. 8 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, Mr. Cohen. 10 MR. YANNELLA: And good afternoon. Donald Yannella on behalf of Benson Chin. 11 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Yannella. 13 I note the presence of both Cantonese and Mandarin 14 interpreters. Would each of you identify yourselves? 15 INTERPRETER LAU: Yes. Lily Lau, Cantonese 16 interpreter. 17 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Lau. 18 INTERPRETER LAU: Good afternoon, Judge. 19 INTERPRETER ONG: Patsy Ong, Mandarin interpreter. 20 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you, Ms. Ong. 21 INTERPRETER ONG: Good afternoon. 22

THE COURT: Ms. He, are you able to understand what is being said here today through the Mandarin interpreter? The interpreter cannot take a shake of the head.

DEFENDANT HE: I understand.

23

24

25

THE COURT: And Mr. Chin, can you understand what is 1 being said here today through the Cantonese interpreter? 2 3 DEFENDANT CHIN: I understand. 4 THE COURT: All right. This matter is on for 5 sentencing. Are the parties ready to proceed? 6 MS. FENDER: The government is, your Honor. 7 MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor, Ms. He is prepared. 8 MR. YANNELLA: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cohen, have you reviewed 10 with your client the presentence investigation report? 11 MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor. I reviewed the report 12 with the aid of an interpreter with Ms. He. We have no 13 objections to the facts set forth in the report other than as 14 indicated in our sentencing submission. 15 THE COURT: Does the government believe there are any 16 factual matters in the report that warrant modification or 17 correction? 18 MS. FENDER: No, your Honor. As Mr. Cohen 19 represented, the only debate we are having here is about the 20 allegations being made by Victim 1. 21 THE COURT: Is it necessary for us to address those 22 allegations in terms of the presentence report? 23 MS. FENDER: I don't believe so, your Honor, because 24 no enhancement is being sought here and it does not affect the 25

guidelines calculation, only to the extent it affects your

Honor's consideration in issuing a sentence. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, do you have any view? 2 3 MR. COHEN: I agree, your Honor. Our request is as we set forth in the report that the 4 5 Court direct probation to include Ms. He's denial of the assertions but, other than that, no other changes. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, your Honor. 8 MR. COHEN: 9 THE COURT: I will include such a direction. 10 MR. COHEN: Thank you very much, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So, the report will be amended to reflect 12 that, that the defendant denies the allegations. 13 MR. COHEN: Thank you very much, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Yannella, have you reviewed with your 15 client the presentence investigation report. 16 MR. YANNELLA: Yes, I have. 17 THE COURT: Are there any factual matters set forth in 18 the report that you believe warrant modification or correction? 19 MR. YANNELLA: I sent in my objections and probation 20 noted them. 21 THE COURT: They did. 22 MR. YANNELLA: Yes, so I am satisfied with the fact 23 that they have noted my objections. That is our assertion of

what occurred. I'm not seeking a Fatico hearing and I am

satisfied with the report.

24

25

THE COURT: I have one issue with respect to the report and that is at paragraph 112.

Mr. Chin has been married a number of times and the report reports that the first marriage was to Mei Rong Weng in 1999; they divorced in 2003. The second marriage was to Lee Lee Yung in 2001 in Manhattan; they divorced in 2008. The third marriage was to Caliman Yu in 2009; they divorced in 2012.

My question, specifically, is did the defendant marry
Ms. Yung while he was still married to Ms. Weng?

(Defendant and counsel conferring)

MR. YANNELLA: Judge, my client's answer is no, that he has never been married to two women at once. There has never been any overlap, but he also points out to me that the order of wives numbers 2 and 3 is switched in the presentence report but there was no overlap at any time.

THE COURT: When did he marry Ms. Yung?
(Defendant and counsel conferring)

MR. YANNELLA: Judge, he doesn't remember the exact years but, again, he is telling me that 2 and 3 are switched in the PSR in paragraph 112.

THE COURT: The names are switched?

MR. YANNELLA: Yes.

THE COURT: So wife no. 3 is really wife no. 2?

MR. YANNELLA: Correct.

THE COURT: That still doesn't address the years.

There is still a two-year overlap.

MR. YANNELLA: Oh, I see, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's my point.

MR. YANNELLA: I see. I see, between 1 and 2.

(Defendant and counsel conferring)

MR. YANNELLA: Okay. Your Honor, he doesn't know how much time elapsed but he is sure he had a divorce certificate from the end of his first marriage before he entered into his second marriage. I know that doesn't answer your question precisely but he simply can't give me the years but he is telling me he was never married to two women at the same time. He actually had a child as a result of that first marriage with that first wife.

THE COURT: Well, but that's contrary to paragraph 131 in which he reported to probation that he does not have any children. Does he have a child?

(Defendant and counsel conferring)

MR. YANNELLA: Okay. The woman told -- his first wife said that it was his child but that after he got divorced he found out it was not his child.

THE COURT: All right. He just makes it up as he goes along.

Now, it is not particularly relevant to sentencing but just looking at this, maybe the government should look at how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many green cards the defendant might be involved with, so many marriages.

MS. FENDER: Your Honor, we understand that Mr. Chin's relationship history is somewhat convoluted. Frankly, we tried to get to the bottom of it as well and have been unable to do so.

THE COURT: Well, it wouldn't be too difficult assuming that he gave the proper names for his wives to find out how many of them got green cards or subsequently became citizens because they married him, but that's really not before the Court at this time.

I think before I hear from counsel I will simply review for all sides and both defendants the guidelines computation of which it appears there is no dispute.

Both of the defendants stand convicted of transporting others in interstate commerce for the purposes of engaging in prostitution, a serious crime against the United States. base offense level for this crime is 14. The parties agree that no role adjustments are appropriate, but given that the investigation revealed that the offense involved between 10 and 12 victims, a grouping analysis was employed leading to a five-level increase in the total offense level. There were six units and under the multiple count adjustment that yields a five-level increase. And so, the combined adjusted offense level is 19.

Now, each of these defendants pled guilty before me back in January. At that time I found that their pleas were knowing and voluntary and, accordingly, I grant each of them a three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, so their total offense level is 16. Neither of them have any prior criminal history although each does have an arrest.

Accordingly, their Criminal History Category is a I and under the guideline tables that yields a guideline range for each of them of 21 to 27 months of imprisonment.

So, that guideline calculation applies to each of them. With that, I am prepared to hear from each party regarding the 3553(a) factors and their respective submissions on behalf of their clients.

Mr. Cohen, would you like to be heard?

MR. COHEN: Yes. Thank you very much.

As the Court has already pointed out, this is a very serious offense and nothing in my submission or in my comments to the Court today suggest that it is not, or that Ms. He doesn't recognize the seriousness of the offense for which she is doing everything she can to accept responsibility for.

At the same time, the Court should take into account Ms. He's background and her characteristics. There is a whole person here which is distinct from this serious offense. We have tried to lay it out as best as we can in our sentencing submission. I note the Court has read it. It is hard to do.

It is hard to capture a person who has had the type of life that Ms. He has had and there are a few things I want to highlight for the Court.

Ms. He is now 46 years old. She has had a very, very difficult life. She grew up, as we tried to describe, in a type of poverty that is hard to comprehend. She lived in a house sharing her room with her siblings, with ducks, with chickens, with no running water, with no electricity, on the outskirts of Chongqing, in China.

She was working from the time she was -- as early as she can remember but as best she can recall 4 or 5 years old, on the family farm helping to take care of her siblings. And the theme throughout her life and including in connection with this offense is that she was trying her best to support others, specifically eventually her son, her aged parents. She was not able to get much education because of her work responsibilities.

We described for the Court about how when she was 19 she went to work in a restaurant, she met her first husband who was an alcoholic who physically abused her for years, abused their son. Their son has written to the Court and described that to some extent.

Eventually during this period she resorted to prostitution. There is no -- I mean, it is a tricky area because here she is both prostitute and someone who engaged

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other women to engage in prostitution, but it is a sad commentary on the state of the world that women in Ms. He's position would end up doing that because it was the only way she could find to make enough money to support her family. doesn't excuse her engaging other people to do it but it's part of a very complicated life that the Court has to consider.

Her life did not get easier. Her second husband had a gambling problem, eventually took -- relied on Ms. He to prostitute herself in order to support him and her son, and then when she sent all of the money home that she had made, he stole it all and gambled it away and got into further debt and that led her to come to the U.S., to continue to try and make money as a prostitute, which money she sent home to support her kids and her aging parents.

She is now 46 years old. Our expectation is that she will be removed from the country. She is not here legally. She will have to go back, regardless of what happens today, to China, and start again trying to help her parents out as best as she can. They're both, from their letters, about 70 years old and quite ill and have been, as her parents and her brother indicate, relying upon her for financial support. And given the fact that since she was 5 years old she has tried to support those around her, I am absolutely confident that that is what she will do when she gets home, will be to try and support her parents.

1 We provided son

We provided some other information in our submission that the Court can consider. The Court can note that the grouping calculation and the increase of guidelines for the number of people involved is based, in part, on what Ms. He told the government about her own activities in her attempts to take responsibility and to make amends for her conduct.

So, it would be, I think, a different question,

perhaps -- well, let me put it this way, your Honor. The Court

can note that Ms. He is likely to be removed from this country.

That, in and of itself, is going to be a very difficult

experience.

THE COURT: Do you know whether an immigration detainer has been lodged against her?

MR. COHEN: I have no reason to think that one has been lodged against her as of this moment, but as Ms. He told the -- you know, the Court can take her very forthright statements to the probation office. She told the probation officer that she came here on a tourist visa and worked as a prostitute when she arrived and did not try and obfuscate that or hide that in any way. From the start our expectation is that it will have very severe, adverse consequences for her and Ms. He is here to take -- she has done everything she can, given the nature of this offense, to accept her responsibility and that is part of it and part of it is her being honest with the government and the probation office about her own

immigration situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, given the part of her difficult life, the fact that she will be removed, the fact that her aging parents rely on her, the fact that she has worked very hard thinking about other people, the fact that that work involved prostitution is difficult -- or at times, obviously not throughout her life. Again, it doesn't take away that she engaged other people to do it with understanding what was involved and it is a crime for which she is standing before the Court, but we urge the Court to take, in balancing all these different factors that in this case to recognize that Ms. He does not need to be further punished. She does not need to be further deterred.

The Court can note that Ms. He has been out on release for over a year, been fully compliant of all of the terms of her release, has done nothing wrong during this period, and I am confident will do nothing wrong again. This has been an absolutely searing experience for someone who has never been in this position before.

So, on balance, I urge the Court not to impose an incarceratory sentence on Ms. He.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cohen.

Mr. Yannella, do you wish to be heard on behalf of your client?

MR. YANNELLA: Yes, your Honor.

With respect to the paragraph 112 of the PSR, I was present when my client was interviewed and I know the dates suggest there is overlaps in the marriage but I do believe that's a typo. And the reason I say that is that when he was interviewed by the probation department, they went through the years and I recall them understanding that none of the marriages overlapped. We didn't notice how it was written in the PSR but if he had said it that way or if he had changed his answers when he was trying to remember the different marriages, the probation officer would have brought it to his attention right there in front of him and it never was.

Again, we didn't notice it in the PSR. So, I don't think there is any question, I don't think there is any possibility that he was married to two women at the same time, ever.

And, Judge, I have discussed the 3553(a) factors pretty extensively in my sentencing memorandum so I am not going to do that entirely again but I would point out that my client is 47 years old. He now appreciates how wrong prostitution is and how it's viewed in the United States, although I will say toward the beginning of the case because he is from a place -- Macao -- where prostitution is legal, he had a different mindset even though he knew it was illegal here, he had a different mindset on it earlier in the case.

He and Ms. He have been married for three years. They

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a very strong relationship. And I think that reflects --I don't know what my client thinks about or thought about previously about prostitution, he didn't view it with as much social stigma. In fact, one of his former wives was also a prostitute and she wrote that that in one of the sentencing letters submitted on his behalf.

But, he understands the seriousness of the offense and he does now have a felony conviction. His life is up-ended because the person he loves and the person he lives with is likely to be deported and he may end up having to go with her in order to continue that relationship. He might have to leave the United States. It is a wrenching decision for him, especially because he has parents in their 90s but he does accept responsibility for his actions and I would request that if your Honor does not grant what I ask for which is probation, that there be some variance from the guidelines.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yannella.

Does the government wish to be heard, Ms. Fender?

MS. FENDER: Just very briefly, your Honor.

Your Honor, even putting aside the serious allegations from Victim 1, this case is about very serious conduct. argument that is being made that for instance Mr. Chin didn't understand that prostitution was illegal in this country is belied by, as your Honor noted before, the earlier arrest that

Mr. Chin has. So, even putting aside the allegations made by some of the victims in this case it is very serious conduct.

These women who are coming over here from China are seeking a better life and opportunity and, instead, they're being exploited. Some, like Victim 1, are exploited to the extent that it fundamentally alters the course of their lives.

We have heard about, with all due respect to Ms. He and Mr. Chin's family but, as your Honor is aware based on the stenting submission that Victim 1 put in in this case, she herself as a family. She says: I was a homemaker in China but I cannot square being a mother or wife with having been forced to have sex with strangers. I feel like I have to keep secrets from my family now because I am deeply ashamed of what happened and do not thinking anyone would understand. I cannot help but feel like I am no longer a woman or a whole person.

Although we are not seeking a special enhancement based on the allegations, we do think they can be considered by your Honor.

So, for the reasons stated in our submission, we do think a guideline sentence is appropriate for the defendants.

THE COURT: Does the government believe that any order of restitution is appropriate here?

MS. FENDER: Yes, we do, your Honor.

We have been discussing that with the victim and her advocates trying to make sure they understand what is sort of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

acceptable given her desire to avoid any future proceedings. She does not wish to appear before the Court. She very much wishes to put this behind her.

I have spoken with Mr. Yannella and Mr. Cohen. think we are reaching a resolution on that issue and so we would ask your Honor's leave to put in something after today, but we are seeking an order of restitution.

THE COURT: Why can't the parties reach an agreement now?

MS. FENDER: Certainly, your Honor. I am happy to explain the disagreement.

Specifically, as your Honor saw in defense's sentencing submissions, they object to the characterization of the victim that this \$2,000 that was given over for safe-keeping was taken. So, I believe, and I don't want to speak out of turn -- but that Mr. Chin and Ms. He understand and accept the idea of restitution for the conduct to which they expressly pled guilty, namely the approximately \$7,500 in the money earned by the victim and things of that nature. It is the \$2,000 that is the issue. The victim still believes that she is entitled to that. She has alleged and we believe, for reasons stated in our submission, that she did give the \$2,000 for safe-keeping. The defendants object and disagree. And so, that's the outstanding issue.

If your Honor feels he does want to address that issue

today, I do have a draft order of restitution that leaves the amount blank so that we could enter that today, your Honor, if you would like. And it does set out in the schedule: Filed under seal. It does have the victim's name and address that I believe is appropriate for an order of restitution.

THE COURT: What are the defendants' views?

MR. YANNELLA: May we consult with the prosecutor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. YANNELLA: Thank you.

(Counsel conferring)

MR. COHEN: Your Honor, let me lay out for you our position and our discussions with the government in terms of restitution.

As the Court knows, Victim 1 put in a request for restitution in the amount of \$9,520. The bulk of that restitution, which is about \$7,000, is based on the money that was earned by her during that time and which she says that our clients held on to. There is an additional \$2,000 which she alleges was taken from her at the beginning. For the reasons that we have already discussed in connection with the presentence report, both Ms. He and Mr. Chin deny the assertions generally made by the victim about how she was treated by them. At the same time, they recognize that this was a traumatic experience for her. They do not want to prolong it or add to it in any way and consented to the

restitution payments for the figure in the \$7,500 range which encompassed the money that she earned, but even wanting to not add to this did not -- we couldn't agree to the \$2,000 and savings which they assert they did not take.

So, that's what we represented to the government and that is where things stand.

So, if the Court finds that the \$9,500 is appropriate, that's going to be fine with Ms. He, but our position is that the -- I guess the exact amount is going to be \$7,520 is the appropriate amount with the understanding that the agreement to pay restitution doesn't change their position on the conduct.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cohen.

Do you endorse Mr. Cohen's view, Mr. Yannella?

MR. YANNELLA: Yes.

THE COURT: Do the parties waive any evidentiary hearing with respect to restitution?

MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor.

MR. YANNELLA: Yes.

THE COURT: The Court has, as part of the submissions here, a victim impact statement and an affidavit of loss filed by victim no. 1. Based upon that affidavit of loss, this Court finds that an award of restitution, jointly and severally in the amount of \$9,520, is appropriate.

MR. COHEN: Thank you, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Cohen, does your client wish to address the Court before sentence is imposed? 2 3 MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor. 4 (Defendant and counsel conferring) 5 DEFENDANT HE: I'm sorry, your Honor. I am extremely 6 remorseful and I will not do such a thing ever in the future. 7 I am very remorseful. 8 MR. COHEN: Thank you, your Honor. 9 DEFENDANT CHIN: (In English) Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Yannella, does your client wish to 11 address the Court before sentence is imposed? 12 MR. YANNELLA: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I will hear from him now. 14 DEFENDANT CHIN: Your Honor, I know that what I did 15 was wrong but I never wanted to and I never thought I would hurt anyone. I actually wanted to engage in a legitimate 16 17 business and not an illegal business, but because of my health 18 reasons there are a lot of things that I cannot do, and also my 19 family needed my support and that's why I started this illegal 20 business when I did not have any other choices. 21 If I have an opportunity, I will never engage in this 22 illegal business again. I am sorry. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 Each of these defendants come before the Court having

been convicted of transporting others in interstate commerce

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the purposes of engaging in prostitution; as I have said, a very serious crime against the United States. The guideline range, as I have previously noted, is 21 to 27 months of imprisonment.

Turning first to Ms. He and considering the 3553(a) factors. First, as the parties' submissions and Mr. Cohen's remarks today make clear, the defendant was born and spent her early years in an impoverished rural community in China suffering the kind of poverty that no one in the United States, in 2016, is suffering. She, as reported, slept in a room with her siblings, with chickens and ducks in the room, no plumbing, no electricity, and as soon as she could walk was put in the fields to help the family.

She ultimately moved to a larger place, a more urbanized place in China where she began to engage in prostitution. She wound up coming to the United States for the express purpose of engaging in prostitution and she came here, of course, under false pretenses on a visitor's visa.

The crime here, committed with her husband, was The whole situation really points to a parallel universe that hits hard to grapple with the fact that this kind of conduct is going on with people shuffling humanity from Flushing to various motels in New Jersey, preying on the Chinese community and preying on young women who have come to the United States for any number of reasons, I am sure, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certainly not with the view like Ms. He, of engaging in prostitution. And victim no. 1's statement really says so much about this case.

So, when the co-defendant, Mr. Chin, said he never intended to hurt anyone, it just rings hollow given the conduct of these defendants in this scheme transporting women for sex over state lines.

It is clear, though, that unlike Mr. Chin, Ms. He does not enjoy the privileges and immunities of citizenship in the United States and she should be deported from the United States upon the completion of any term of imprisonment here.

It strikes me that the severity of the conduct, the sustained nature of the conduct, warrant significant punishment and not just as a matter of general deterrence but also specific deterrence. This defendant, Ms. He, engaged just as actively and willingly in this enterprise, in essence, of transporting young women across state lines for prostitution.

And so, in bringing all of those factors together and looking at her as an individual recognizing the circumstance that she's in, recognizing the fact that she herself engaged in prostitution does not excuse her conduct in this case but, certainly, at least to this Court, is some indication of the desperateness in which she found herself when she came to the United States.

I am prepared to impose sentence on her at this time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I think that a non-quideline sentence is appropriate in Ms. He's case given the collateral consequences that she is going to suffer.

So, Ms. He, I would ask that you stand.

Ms. He, this conduct is inexcusable and you have certainly hurt some people very, very seriously, something that they'll have to live with for the rest of their lives. For that you must be punished and a big part of your punishment will be removing you from the United States because you don't deserve to be here.

It is my judgment that you be sentenced to a term of seven months of imprisonment. I am going to impose a term of five years of supervised release but I am going to include, in my recommendation, that on the face of the judgment, that a detainer be lodged against you and that you be deported from the United States.

I am going to impose all the standard conditions of supervised release and the following special conditions: you comply with any and all directives of immigration authorities; that you not have contact with any of the victims in this case whether physical, visual, written, telephonic, any electronic, any communication with any of them, nor shall you cause or encourage anyone else to have any contact with them; then you are to submit your person, residence, place of business, vehicle, or any other premises under your control to

a search on the basis that your probation officer has a reasonable belief that contraband or other evidence of a violation of the conditions of your release may be found. That search can be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner and your failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation.

So, you are to inform any other residents of the premises where you reside that those premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

I am not going to impose any fine but I am going to impose an order of restitution in the amount of \$9,520, jointly and severally with your co-defendant, Mr. Chin. I am also going to impose the mandatory \$100 special assessment and I will enter an order of restitution in the case.

This constitutes the sentence of this Court. I advise you that to the extent that you have not previously waived your right to appeal, you have the right to appeal. I advise you further that if you cannot afford counsel, counsel will be provided to you free of cost.

Mr. Cohen has done an excellent job in representing you and I am confident that he will advise you further regarding your appellate rights. You may be seated.

Turning to Mr. Chin.

Unlike his wife and co-defendant, Mr. Chin, you came to the United States legally and you partook of all the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

benefits that the United States has to offer. You got a free public education in Forest Hills. We conferred citizenship on you back more than 20 years ago and you've abused the trust that your government put in you.

We welcomed you. We can't turn back the hands of time but if we could, we'd keep you out but we're stuck with you because you're a citizen. The conduct that you engaged in is despicable. And, as the government noted, it's not the first time that you've been involved with prostitution. Eight years ago you were arrested and you certainly knew before that that it was illegal.

These women, Victim no. 1 in particular, will bear the scars of what you did and your wife did to her for the rest of her life. I have no doubt about that. No recompense can be made for that. the restitution is just a drop in the bucket.

Looking at you, considering there are -- I'm sad to say -- very few redeeming qualities about you. You committed a serious offense, you did it with impunity. You've gambled. You've got all kinds of problems that have led to the collapse of businesses that you had because of your gambling. You have got a long parade of illnesses that you have, quite frankly none of which are so overwhelming. There is many people your age who could claim the same kinds of health problems that you went to great lengths to describe.

You have gone from job to job intermittently doing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

various things; working as a desk clerk at hotels. I wonder what opportunities those gave you to meet young women that you could involve in prostitution.

You deserve to be punished.

It is my judgment, Mr. Chin, that you be sentenced to a term of 21 months of imprisonment. I think a quideline sentence is appropriate for you because, unlike your wife, we can't get rid of you so you need to be out of circulation. I'm also going to impose on you a five-year term of supervised release subject to all of the standard conditions of supervised release and the following special conditions that you, sir, submit yourself and your premise, your residence and any premises under your control, to a search on the basis that your probation officer has a reasonable belief that contraband or evidence of a violation of the conditions of your release can be found, that search which can include your vehicle or place of business can be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner, and your failure to submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation. You are to inform any other residents that the premises where you reside upon your release may be subject to search pursuant to this condition. And, you are directed to report to the nearest probation office within 72 hours of your release and to be supervised in the district of your residence.

I am also imposing on you, joint and several with your

co-defendant, an order of restitution in the amount of \$9,520. And incidentally, this restitution will be payable in monthly installments of 15 percent of your gross monthly income upon your release from prison.

I am not imposing any fine on you. I am imposing the mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Further, I am imposing the special condition as I did with Ms. He that you have no contact with the victims in this case, whether it be physical, visual, written, telephonic, electronic, smoke signals. No contact with any of these victims. And you won't send anyone to directly cause or encourage anyone else to have contact with the victims. They're all finished with you.

This constitutes the sentence of this Court. I advise you, sir, that to the extent you have not previously waived your right to appeal, you have the right to appeal.

I advise you further if you cannot afford counsel, counsel will be provided to you free of cost.

Mr. Yannella has done the very best he can for you. You didn't give him much to work with. It has really all been a waste. Maybe you will do something productive when you are finally released from prison. If you go back to your old ways you will be back before me in this courtroom and I will not hesitate to revoke your supervised release and send you back to prison.

G6U5heS sentence 1 Do you understand, sir? DEFENDANT CHIN: I understand. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 4 Are there any further applications? 5 MS. FENDER: Yes, your Honor. The government would 6 move to dismiss the underlying counts, Count One and Count 7 Three of the information, against these defendants. THE COURT: The government's application is granted. 8 9 Are there any further applications? 10 MS. FENDER: The only other thing, your Honor, is if 11 we could have some small measure of time to get you a draft 12 order of restitution? Given the specifications today with 13 regard to the monthly installment plan I would like to include 14 that in the proposed order. THE COURT: I don't know that that needs to be in the 15 It will be in the judgment of conviction as a condition 16 17 of supervised release as a special condition so I think you can 18 just hand up the order. 19 MR. YANNELLA: Judge, may we consult with the 20 prosecutor? 21 THE COURT: Yes. By all means. 22 (Counsel conferring) 23 MS. FENDER: Your Honor, may I have just one moment?

THE COURT: You may.

(Pause)

24

25

G6U5heS sentence 1 MS. FENDER: Your Honor, may I approach? 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MS. FENDER: I am handing up a draft order of 4 restitution. We would ask your Honor that the schedule of 5 victims on the last page be filed under seal. 6 THE COURT: That application is granted. 7 MS. FENDER: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Any further applications from the 8 9 defendants. 10 MR. COHEN: Nothing for Ms. He. Thank you, your 11 Honor. 12 MR. YANNELLA: No, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. And any requests with respect 14 to the location for confinement? 15 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I would request that the Court recommend a facility as close to New York as possible. My 16 17 expectation is that I think that the only women's prison or 18 low-end security prison is in West Virginia so that's my 19 expectation in terms of where she would go. 20 Thank you, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I will include your recommendation on the 22

face of the judgment.

Mr. Yannella?

23

24

25

MR. YANNELLA: There is a federal facility in Fort Dix, New Jersey, and my client respectfully requests that.

does have family members who will want to visit him.

THE COURT: That involves security classifications which I leave to the Bureau of Prisons but I will include a recommendation that he be housed at a facility as close to the New York metropolitan area as possible.

MR. YANNELLA: That's fine, your Honor. I know New Jersey does have multiple classifications but what your Honor said is fine.

THE COURT: All right. And finally, just so that the Court's interest with respect to the finality of this matter be resolved, I am directing the government to ensure that a detainer is lodged by immigration against Ms. He.

Anything else?

MS. FENDER: Your Honor, may I have just one more moment? I apologize.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Counsel conferring)

MS. FENDER: Your Honor, with respect to restitution, I neglected to mention earlier that Mr. Chin had posted some amount of cash as security for his bond and we would ask, based on my earlier affirmations in this case, it looks like it is about \$1,400. We ask that that be applied toward restitution instead of being released to the defendant or his family.

MR. YANNELLA: No objection.

THE COURT: All right. After his surrender.

G6U5heS sentence 1 MR. YANNELLA: Yes. THE COURT: All right? I assume that both defendants 2 3 are requesting voluntary surrender? 4 MR. COHEN: That's correct, your Honor. 5 As the Court would note, Ms. He has been out for a 6 year. Probation has recommended voluntary surrender so we 7 would request a date about six weeks out, your Honor. THE COURT: Is there any objection by the government? 8 9 MS. FENDER: No objection, your Honor. 10 MR. YANNELLA: We take the same position, your Honor. My client has also had no issues with retrial services. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. I am going to direct that each 13 of the defendants surrender to a facility to be designated by 14 the Bureau of Prisons by Thursday, August 18th. 15 Anything further? MR. COHEN: Nothing for Ms. He. 16 17 MR. YANNELLA: No. 18 MS. FENDER: No, your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: This matter is concluded. Have a good 20 afternoon. 21 000

22

23

24

25